



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,681	10/24/2005	Klaus Russke	BU-11PCT	1560
40570 7590 03/14/2007 FRIEDRICH KUEFFNER			EXAMINER	
317 MADISON AVENUE, SUITE 910 NEW YORK, NY 10017			PAPE, JOSEPH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	
	<u> </u>			i
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Audio O	10/531,681	RUSSKE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph D. Pape	3612
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication.
Status		·
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to by the Examin 10) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin are considered.	ewn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.  cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/24/05.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	eate

Art Unit: 3612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. Headings are lacking in the specification. See below for guidance.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

## Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Application/Control Number: 10/531,681

Art Unit: 3612

Page 3

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it must be on a separate page. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 1, "convertible" is inferentially recited. On line 2, "belt line" has no clear antecedent basis. On line 2, "roof part" is unclear in that it possibly refers to the "rear roof part". On line 4, it is not exactly clear what is "located". On line 5 the recitation of "lateral main posts" is unclear in that they are not structurally related to the other elements of the invention. On line 5, "roof" has no clear antecedent basis. On line 6, it is unclear relative to what the roof section is "separately" rotationally connected. On line 6, "roof section" has no clear antecedent basis. On the last line "upper roof part" has no clear antecedent basis.

In claim 2, the use of the phrases "on the one hand" and "on the other hand" are narrative statements which are improper claim language. On the last line, "upper roof part" has no clear antecedent basis.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

In claim 3, line 1, "convertible" is inferentially recited. On line 2, "belt line" has no clear antecedent basis. On line 2, "roof part" is unclear in that it possibly refers to the "rear roof part". On line 4, it is not exactly clear what is "located". On line 5 the recitation of "lateral main posts" is unclear in that they are not structurally related to the other elements of the invention. On line 5, "roof" has no clear antecedent basis. The phrase "especially in accordance with claim 1" is indefinite. The use of the term "assigned" on line 7 is unclear. The use of the phrases "on the one hand" and "on the other hand" are narrative statements which are improper claim language.

In claim 4, it is not clear whether the "main post" refers to those set forth in claim 1 or some other structure.

In claim 5, the last line, it is unclear whether the "upper roof part" refers to a previously recited element or is a new element.

In claim 7, "planes" has no clear antecedent basis.

In claim 8, "open state" has no clear antecedent basis.

In claim 10, the use of the term "assigned" is unclear. Further, the structural location and relationship of the lateral guides to the other features of the invention for support thereof is unclear.

In claim 11, it is unclear whether the recited "lateral guides" are the same as or different from those set forth in claim 10.

In claim 12, line 3, "roof" has no clear antecedent bass. The phrase "practically horizontally" is unclear. The phrase "indirectly or directly" is vague and indefinite. On the second to last line, "mounting connection" has no clear antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 3612

Claim 13 fails to further limit claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-9 and 12-13, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Neubrand et al.

Neubrand et al. disclose the claimed invention including front roof part 112, rear roof part 114, rear window 130 and main posts 132 and 134. See Figures 7-11. Re claim 4, there are no "guide rods" as broadly as recited.

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 10 and 11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Pape whose telephone number is (571)272-6664. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 6:30 AM-3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (571)-272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jose¢h D. Păpe Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

Jdp

3/11/07